UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/698,328 | 10/31/2003 | Edward Alan Clark | LUC-434/Clark 11 | 9806 |
| | 7590 03/04/200 TT & AREZINA LLC | EXAMINER | | |
| ONE NORTH I | LASALLE STREET | SHIN, KYUNG H | | |
| 44TH FLOOR CHICAGO, IL | 60602 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2443 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/04/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|---------------|------|--|
| 10/698,328 | CLARK, EDWARD | ALAN | |
| | | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |

| | Kyung | Hye Shin | 2443 | |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on t | he cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 18 February 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | APPLICA | ATION IN CONDITION FO | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (eal (with | 1) an amendment, affidavit appeal fee) in compliance v | , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (left) | dvisory A ater than \$ | ction, or (2) the date set forth i SIX MONTHS from the mailing | date of the final rejection | n. |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extruder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which tension ar shortened than thre | nd the corresponding amount of statutory period for reply origin | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | nsion the | ereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | nsideratio w); | on and/or search (see NOT | E below); | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | 21. See a | | | PTOL-324). |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-27. | ⊠ will n | ot be entered, or b) 🔲 will | - | _ |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome and wa | e <u>all</u> rejections under appea s not earlier presented. Se | l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) | s to provide a). |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u> M The request for reconsideration has been considered but | | | • | |
| See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other: | | | Condition for allowall | oc because. |
| /Tonia LM Dollinger/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2443 | E | Kyung Hye Shin Examiner Art Unit: 2443 | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The newly amended claim limitations would require a further search and/or consideration.